

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/017,660	12/12/2001	Joseph S. Adorante	2873	9669
26822 75	590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER	
WALTER A.		FAY, ZOHREH A		
2372 S.E. BRISTOL, SUITE B NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-0755			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	s)		
		10/017,660	ADORANTI	ADORANTE, JOSEPH S.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zohreh Fay	1614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cover	sheet with the corresponder	nce address		
THE - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hower on. s, a reply within the statutory mini period will apply and will expire S statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be consider IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fina	l.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 23-26 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from considera				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objeto the drawing(s) be held incorrection is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.89 drawing(s) is objected to. See	e 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been recei ments have been recei priority documents ha ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Na a)).			
Attachmen						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	⁽⁸⁾ SB/08) 5) ☐ N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	on (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/017,660

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 23-26 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on April 22, 2003 have been received and entered.

Claims 23-26 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for the reasons set forth on pages 2 and 3 of the office action of July 3, 2002 and the following reasons. Claims 23-26 are beyond the scope of the enabling disclosure as to the use of the term "preventing retinal ganglion cell death". The instant specification fails to provide guidance to a person skilled in the art to determine as to how the prevention is done. The state of the art also does not recognize that the prevention of conditions associated with retinal disorders is easily accomplished. (Ocular pharmacology, 4th Edition). The claims of the instant application are considered to be very broad, directed the prevention of any conditions rising from "retinal ganglion cell death". There are also no working examples to demonstrate the prevention of "retinal ganglion cell death". Therefore, there is a burden in terms of quantity of experimentation necessary for a person skilled in the art to determine the "prevention of retinal ganglion cell death".

Claims 23-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed invention is directed to a method of preventing retinal ganglion cell death administrating to the ganglion optic nerve of a mammal a pharmaceutical composition, which evokes a biological mechanism, which does not modulate aqueous humor dynamic and intraocular pressure. Such method

Application/Control Number: 10/017,660

Art Unit: 1614

requires the use of unspecified composition, and no evidence indicates that applicant knew such compositions. Therefore, the fact pattern indicates that artisan was not in possession of the claimed composition. The specification discloses examples of the structure of some compounds within the scope of what is claimed. However, there is no evidence that there is any per se structure/function relationship between the disclosed compounds and any other pharmaceutical compositions, which evokes biological mechanism, which does not modulate aqueous humor dynamics and intraocular pressure. Therefore, the claimed invention is not supported by adequate written description.

Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Goldin et al. for the reasons set forth on Page 3 of the office action of January 29, 2003.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the different use of the claimed invention in comparison to the prior art of record. The allegation is not well taken. The use of the claimed compounds for any reason in the body would inherently prevent the retinal ganglion cell death.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (571)-272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (571) 272-0584. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 1614

308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Z.FMarch 18, 2004